# Washington State House of Representatives Office of Program Research

## BILL ANALYSIS

## **Health Care & Wellness Committee**

## **HB 2522**

**Brief Description**: Concerning emergency health care services.

**Sponsors**: Representatives Driscoll, Williams, Cody, Morrell, Ormsby and Moeller; by request of Insurance Commissioner.

### **Brief Summary of Bill**

• Changes the definition of "emergency services" for purposes of the state insurance law.

Hearing Date: 1/21/10

Staff: Jim Morishima (786-7191).

#### Background:

A health carrier must cover "emergency services" necessary to screen and stabilize a covered person without prior authorization if a prudent layperson would reasonably have believed that an emergency medical condition existed. If the emergency services were provided in a non-participating hospital, the health carrier must cover emergency services necessary to screen and stabilize a covered person if a prudent layperson would reasonably have believed that use of a participating hospital would result in a delay that would worsen the emergency or if use of a specific hospital is required by federal, state, or local law. Likewise, a health carrier may not require prior authorization of emergency services in a non-participating hospital if a prudent layperson acting reasonably would have believed that an emergency medical condition existed and that use of a participating hospital would result in a delay that would worsen the emergency.

If an authorized representative of the health carrier authorizes coverage for emergency services, the carrier may not retract the authorization after the services have been provided or reduce payment for services provided in reliance on the approval. The carrier may retract the authorization or reduce payment, however, if the approval was based on a material misrepresentation about the covered person's health condition made by the provider.

House Bill Analysis - 1 - HB 2522

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Coverage of emergency services may be subject to applicable copayments, coinsurance, and deductibles. A health carrier may also impose reasonable differential cost-sharing arrangements for emergency services rendered by non-participating providers. However, the difference between cost sharing amounts for participating and non-participating providers may not exceed \$50. Differential cost sharing may not be applied when a covered person utilizes a non-participating hospital emergency department when the carrier requires pre-authorization for post-evaluation and post-stabilization emergency services if:

- the covered person was unable to go to a participating hospital in a timely fashion without serious impairment to the person's health due to circumstances beyond the person's control; or
- a prudent layperson possessing an average knowledge of health and medicine would have reasonably believed that the person would be unable to go to a participating hospital in a timely fashion without serious impairment to the person's health.

"Emergency services" are defined as otherwise covered health services medically necessary to evaluate and treat and emergency medical condition, provided in a hospital emergency department.

#### **Summary of Bill:**

The definition of "emergency services" is expanded to include otherwise covered health services medically necessary to evaluate and treat an emergency medical condition, provided in a hospital (as opposed to a hospital emergency department).

**Appropriation**: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

**Effective Date**: The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of the session in which the bill is passed.